UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,478	03/31/2004	James Loran Ball	ALTRP134/A1466	6370
	7590 07/11/200 STIN VILLENEUVE &	EXAMINER		
ATTN: ALTERA P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			DOLLINGER, TONIA LYNN MEONSKE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/815,478	BALL, JAMES LORAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tonia LM Dollinger	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ag</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 12-30 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8, and 12-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.				
<ul> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/08,4/14&15/08.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2181

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed April 15, 2008 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because the publication year has not been provided. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, and 13-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intel, Inc (IA-32® Architecture Software Developer's Manual, Volumes 1-2, 2002), herein referred to as Intel, in view of Killian et al (U.S Patent # 5,420,992), herein referred to as Killian.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,478

Art Unit: 2181

4. Regarding independent claim 1, Intel teaches a processor, comprising: a plurality of registers [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4]; circuitry configured to process a plurality of instructions [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-14, Section 2.6.2] associated with an instruction set including a plurality of branch and non-branch instructions [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2, starting on page 3-15; Examiner's note: section 3.2 provides a listing of all instruction able to be processed by the P6 architecture, including branch (i.e., JMP, Jcc, CALL, et al.) and non-branch instructions (i.e., ADD, AND, CMP, et al.).], the plurality of instructions each having a multi-byte length [see Intel, Vol. 2, page 2-1, section 2.1], the plurality of instructions accessible at multi-byte aligned addresses [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 1-7, Fig. 1-1; Examiner's note: Since the IA-32 architecture employs 32-bit instructions, these instructions would be accessed by multi-byte aligned addresses.]; wherein substantially all multi-byte aligned branch instructions are operable to access the instructions at byte aligned addresses [see Intel, Vol. 2, page 3-357 "JMP-Jump" instruction reference; page 3-358, line 1-2, "A relative offset (rel8, rel16, or rel32) is generally specified as a label in assembly code, but at the machine code level, it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."; Examiner's note: In the description of operating modes, Intel discloses a jump instruction that uses an offset corresponding to 8 bits (JMP rel8) as well as other indexing modes (rel16, rel32 et al.)].

Page 3

Intel does not teach common subcircuitry operable perform sign extensions of an immediate field in non-branch instructions and to perform sign extensions of said immediate field in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch

Art Unit: 2181

instructions, wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructions.

Killian teaches common subcircuitry operable to perform sign extensions of an immediate field in non-branch instructions and to perform sign extensions of said immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions, wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructions (See column 8, lines 7-13, Figure 3C).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Intel to include the common subcircuitry operable to perform sign extensions of an immediate field in non-branch instructions and to perform sign extensions of said immediate field in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions, wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructions, as taught by Kiillian. Intel already teaches the necessity of sign extending branch immediates (See Intel, Vol. 2,page 3-358, line 1-2: "A relative offset (rel8, rel16, or rel32) is generally specified as a label in assembly code, but at the machine code level, it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."). Sign extensions of immediates are done for various types of operations within the ALU in the Intel architecture. One having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that having a common subcircuitry perform both sign extensions of branches and non-branches would increase the overall functionality and efficiency of the system.

Art Unit: 2181

5. Regarding **claim 2**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 1, wherein the plurality of instructions are accessed at word aligned addresses [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, "...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 32-bit offset, thus word aligned addresses.].

- 6. Regarding **claim 3**, Intel discloses a processor of claim 1, wherein the plurality of instructions are accessed at half-word aligned addresses [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, "...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 16-bit offset, thus half-word aligned addresses.].
- 7. Regarding **claim 4**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 1, wherein accessing the instructions comprises reading and writing the addresses [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357; lines 1-7, "Transfers program control to...a memory location"; Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, "tempEIP <- EIP + DEST"; Examiner's note: In the operation of the jump instruction, Intel discloses reading the address (offset or absolute) from the instruction, as illustrated by "DEST", and writing the address to "tempEIP" for use in changing the instruction pointer.].
- 8. Regarding **claim 5**, Intel discloses *the processor of claim 1, wherein branch instructions comprise branch and conditional branch instructions* [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2, instructions (sections) Jcc (conditional jump) and JMP (jump)].

Application/Control Number: 10/815,478

Art Unit: 2181

9. Regarding **claim 6**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 1, wherein branch instructions comprise a branch offset and a current program counter value [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, "tempEIP <- EIP + DEST"; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP).].

Page 6

- 10. Regarding claim 7, Intel discloses the processor of claim 1, wherein the units of the branch offset [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, "JMP rel8", "When executing a near iump the processor jumps to the address...that is specified with the target operand"] and the current program counter are in bytes [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4, lines 9-10, "EIP (instruction pointer) register...contains a 32-bit pointer..."; Examiner's note: A 32-bit value is comprised of four 8-bit bytes.].
- 11. Regarding **claim 8**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 1, wherein the plurality of instructions are one word in length [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 1-7, Fig. 1-1; Examiner's note: It would have been well known that the IA-32 architecture utilizes 32-bit instructions.].
- 12. Regarding claim 13, Intel discloses the processor of claim 1, wherein the processor is a processor core on a [sic] ASIC [Examiner's note: The P6 chip is considered an ASIC, and therefore anticipates the claim.].

Application/Control Number: 10/815,478

Art Unit: 2181

13. Regarding independent claim 14, Intel teaches a processor, comprising: a plurality of registers [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4]; circuitry [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-14, Section 2.6.2] configured to process a plurality of branch and non-branch instructions associated with an instruction set [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2, starting on page 3-15; Examiner's note: section 3.2 provides a listing of all instruction able to be processed by the P6 architecture, including branch (i.e., JMP, Jcc, CALL, et al.) and non-branch instructions (i.e., ADD, AND, CMP, et al.).], the plurality of branch instructions and non-branch instructions including an immediate field [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-21, line "Add imm8 to AL"; Page 3-357, lines 3-4 "This operand can be an immediate value, a general-purpose register, or a memory location."]; wherein common subcircuitry [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element "Execution Out-of-Order Core"; Vol. 1, Page 2-14, section 2.6.2;] is used to process the immediate field associated with one or more branch instructions and one or more non-branch instructions [see Intel, Vol. 2, page 3-21, lines 2-3 "The destination operand can be a register or a memory location; the source operand can be an immediate, a register, or a memory location." (use of immediate processing with non-branch (ADD) instructions); Page 3-357, lines 3-4 "This operand can be an immediate value, a general-purpose register, or a memory location." (use of immediate processing with branch instructions). Examiner's note: It is clear from the Intel disclosure and would have been well known at the time of invention that the P6 processor employs sub circuitry (the execution core) to perform multiple operations, including branch and non-branch instructions.

Page 7

Furthermore, since the IA-32 architecture utilizes immediate fields in both branch and non-branch (i.e., adding an immediate value) instructions, said instructions would both be executed by said sub circuitry, such as an adder to compute the addition or target address, as was common knowledge at the time of invention.].

Page 8

Intel does not teach wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructions, wherein the circuitry is operable perform sign extensions of immediate fields in non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions.

Killian teaches a common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructionsm wherein the common subcircuitry is configured to perform sign extensions of immediate fields in non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions (See column 8, lines 7-13, Figure 3C).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Intel to include a common subcircuitry that is configured to perform sign extensions of immediate fields in non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions, and wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructions, as taught by Killian. Intel already teaches the necessity of sign extending branch immediates (See Intel, Vol. 2,page 3-358, line 1-2: "A relative offset (rel8, rel16,

Art Unit: 2181

or rel32) is generally specified as a label in assembly code, but at the machine code level, it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."). Sign extensions of immediates are done for various types of operations within the ALU in the Intel architecture. One having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that having a common subcircuitry perform sign extensions of branches and non-branches would increase the overall functionality and efficiency of the system.

- 14. Regarding **claim 15**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 14, wherein the instruction set comprises a plurality of instructions [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2 (listing of a plurality of instructions supported by the P6 architecture.].
- 15. Regarding **claim 16**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 15, wherein the plurality of instructions are accessed at half-word aligned addresses [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, "...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value"; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 16-bit offset, thus half-word aligned addresses.].
- 16. Regarding **claim 17**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 14, wherein branch instructions comprise branch and conditional branch instructions [see Intel, Vol. 2, section 3.2, instructions (sections) Jcc (conditional jump) and JMP (jump)].
- 17. Regarding **claim 18**, Intel discloses *the processor of claim 14, wherein common subcircuitry* [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element "Execution Out-of-Order

Art Unit: 2181

Core"; Vol. 1, Page 2-14, section 2.6.2;] is used to handle the immediate field associated with the branch and non-branch instructions [see Intel, Vol. 2, page 3-21, lines 2-3 "The destination operand can be a register or a memory location; the source operand can be an immediate, a register, or a memory location." (use of immediate processing with non-branch (ADD) instructions); Page 3-357, lines 3-4 "This operand can be an immediate value, a general-purpose register, or a memory location." (use of immediate processing with branch instructions). Examiner's note: It is clear from the Intel disclosure and would have been well known at the time of invention that the P6 processor employs sub circuitry (the execution core) to perform multiple operations, including branch and non-branch instructions. Furthermore, since the IA-32 architecture utilizes immediate fields in both branch and non-branch (i.e., adding an immediate value) instructions, said instructions would both be executed by said sub circuitry, such as an adder to compute the addition or target address, as was common knowledge at the time of invention.].

18. Regarding **claim 19**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 18, wherein common subcircuitry is used to perform sign-extensions of the immediate field associated with the branch and non-branch instructions [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-3, point 4, "**imm8**—An immediate byte value. The **imm8** symbol is a signed number between –128 and +127 inclusive. For instructions in which **imm8** is combined with a word or doubleword operand, the immediate value is sign-extended to form a word or doubleword. The upper byte of the word is filled with the topmost bit of the immediate value." Examiner's

Art Unit: 2181

note: As cited multiple time in this action, many instructions (branch and non-branch) utilize an immediate byte value thus would be sign extended by the execution core.].

19. Regarding independent claim 20, Intel teaches a method for performing an instruction, the method comprising: decoding a branch instruction associated with an address [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Fig. 2-1, element "Fetch/Decode"], the branch instruction having an associated opcode and an immediate value of an immediate field [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, heading of table, "Opcode Instruction Description", Page 3-357, lines 3-4, "This operand can be an immediate value..."]; calculating a branch target address using the immediate value [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, "tempEIP <- EIP + DEST"; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP).], wherein the branch target address is determined by using common subcircuitry, the common subcircuitry operable to calculate a byte-aligned address [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, "tempEIP <- EIP + DEST"; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP); Examiner's note: It is clear that since Intel allows for a byte to be used as the offset.], wherein the common subcircuitry is also configured to perform nonbranch operations [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element "Execution Out-of-Order Core"; Examiner's note: More details of the inner workings of the P6 execution unit are disclosed in the "P6 Family of Processors Hardware Developers Manual" also by Intel, Inc. September 1998, order number 244001-001 (Page 2-5). Furthermore, since Intel discloses multiple instructions

Art Unit: 2181

(branch and non-branch, see Vol. 2, section 3.2), it is clear that both of these types of instructions are executed by the execution core.]; jumping to the branch target address, wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, lines 1-2; Examiner's note: In the case of a jump instruction with a 32 bit immediate, a branch target would be fetched that is multi-byte aligned.].

Intel does note teach wherein the common subcircuitry is operable determine a sign extended value of said immediate field of non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions.

Killian teaches a common subcircuitry that is configured to perform sign extensions of said immediate field in non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions and wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructions (See Figure 3C and column 8, lines 7-13: A branch that contains an immediate field is sign extended view an ALU immediate computation).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Intel to include a common subcircuitry that is configured to perform sign extensions of immediate fields in non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions, and wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch

Art Unit: 2181

instructions, as taught by Killian. Intel already teaches the necessity of sign extending branch immediates (See Intel, Vol. 2,page 3-358, line 1-2: "A relative offset (rel8, rel16, or rel32) is generally specified as a label in assembly code, but at the machine code level, it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."). Sign extensions of immediates are done for various types of operations within the ALU in the Intel architecture. One having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that having a common subcircuitry perform both sign extensions of branches and non-branches would increase the overall functionality and efficiency of the system.

- 20. Regarding **claim 21**, Intel discloses the method of claim 20, wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, lines 1-2; Examiner's note: In the case of a jump instruction with a 32 bit immediate, a branch target would be fetched that is multi-byte aligned.].
- 21. Regarding **claim 22**, Intel discloses the method of claim 20, wherein the branch target address is half-word aligned [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, "...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 16-bit offset, thus half-word aligned addresses.].
- 22. Regarding **claim 23**, Intel discloses the method of claim 20, wherein calculating the branch target address comprises performing a sign extend operation [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-3, point 4, "**imm8**—An immediate byte value. The **imm8** symbol is a signed

Art Unit: 2181

number between –128 and +127 inclusive. For instructions in which **imm8** is combined with a word or doubleword operand, the immediate value is sign-extended to form a word or doubleword. The upper byte of the word is filled with the topmost bit of the immediate value." Examiner's note: As cited multiple time in this action, many instructions (branch and non-branch) utilize an immediate byte value thus would be sign extended by the execution core.].

- 23. Regarding **claim 24**, Intel discloses the method of claim 20, wherein the branch instruction calculates the branch target address using the immediate value and the address of the branch instruction [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357; lines 1-7, "Transfers program control to...a memory location"; Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, "tempEIP <- EIP + DEST"; Examiner's note: In the operation of the jump instruction, Intel discloses reading the address (offset or absolute) from the instruction, as illustrated by "DEST", and writing the address to "tempEIP" for use in changing the instruction pointer.].
- Regarding **claim 25**, Intel discloses the method of claim 20, wherein the units of the immediate value [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, "JMP rel8", "When executing a near jump the processor jumps to the address...that is specified with the target operand"] and the address associated with the branch instruction are in bytes [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4, lines 9-10, "EIP (instruction pointer) register...contains a 32-bit pointer..."; Examiner's note: A 32-bit value is comprised of four 8-bit bytes.]..

Application/Control Number: 10/815,478

Art Unit: 2181

25. Regarding **claim 26**, Intel discloses the method of claim 25, wherein the address associated with the branch instruction is a program counter [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 3-8, section 3.4, lines 9-10, "EIP (instruction pointer) register...contains a 32-bit pointer..."].

Page 15

26. Regarding independent claim 27, Intel teaches a processor, comprising: means for decoding [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Fig. 2-1, element "Fetch/Decode"] a branch instruction associated with an address [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357; Examiner's note: Intel discloses one of a plurality of types of branch instructions in this instruction definition.], the branch instruction having an associated opcode and an immediate value of an immediate field [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, heading of table, "Opcode Instruction Description", Page 3-357, lines 3-4, "This operand can be an immediate value..."]; means for calculating a branch target address using the immediate value [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, "tempEIP <- EIP + DEST"; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP).], wherein the branch target address is determined by using common subcircuitry, the common subcircuitry operable to calculate a byte-aligned address [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-359, Operation Code, line 4, "tempEIP <- EIP + DEST"; Examiner's note: In this cite, Intel discloses an offset (DEST) being added to the program counter value (EIP); Examiner's note: It is clear that since Intel allows for a byte to be used as the offset.], wherein the common subcircuitry is also configured to perform nonbranch operations [see Intel, Vol. 1, Page 2-10, Figure 2-1, element "Execution Out-of-Order

Core"; Examiner's note: More details of the inner workings of the P6 execution unit are disclosed in the "P6 Family of Processors Hardware Developers Manual" also by Intel, Inc. September 1998, order number 244001-001 (Page 2-5). Furthermore, since Intel discloses multiple instructions (branch and non-branch, see Vol. 2, section 3.2), it is clear that both of these types of instructions are executed by the execution core.]; means for jumping to the branch target address, wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, lines 1-2; Examiner's note: In the case of a jump instruction with a 32 bit immediate, a branch target would be fetched that is multi-byte aligned.].

Intel does note teach wherein the common subcircuitry is operable to determine a sign extended value of said immediate field of non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions.

Killian teaches an ALU that is configured to perform sign extensions of immediate fields in non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions, and wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructions (See Figure 3C and column 8, lines 7-13: A branch that contains an immediate field is sign extended view an ALU immediate computation).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Intel to include common subcircuitry that is

Art Unit: 2181

configured to perform sign extensions of immediate fields in non-branch instructions and perform sign extensions of immediate fields in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions, and wherein said common subcircuitry operating on said non-branch instructions is the same subcircuitry operating upon said branch instructions, as taught by Killian. Intel already teaches the necessity of sign extending branch immediates (See Intel, Vol. 2,page 3-358, line 1-2: "A relative offset (rel8, rel16, or rel32) is generally specified as a label in assembly code, but at the machine code level, it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."). Sign extensions of immediates are done for various types of operations within the ALU in the Intel architecture. One having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that having a common subcircuitry perform both sign extensions of branches and non-branches would increase the overall functionality and efficiency of the system.

- 27. Regarding **claim 28**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 27, wherein the branch target address is multi-byte aligned [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-357, lines 1-2; Examiner's note: In the case of a jump instruction with a 32 bit immediate, a branch target would be fetched that is multi-byte aligned.].
- 28. Regarding **claim 29**, Intel discloses the processor of claim 27, wherein the branch target address is half-word aligned [see Intel, Vol. 2, Page 3-358, line 1-2, "...it is encoded as a signed 8-, 16-, or 32-bit immediate value."; Examiner's note: Intel discloses a 16-bit offset, thus half-word aligned addresses.].

Art Unit: 2181

29. Claims 12 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intel in view of Killian in view of Solomon et al (US Pat. No. 6,219,833; herein referred to as "Solomon").

30. Regarding **claim 12**, Intel discloses the limitations as stated in **independent claim 1**.

Intel does not disclose the processor [being] a processor core on a programmable chip.

Solomon does disclose the processor [being] a processor core on a programmable chip [see Solomon, Col. 4, lines 46-50; lines 63-66].

The advantage of utilizing a processor core as that disclosed by Intel in the environment of a programmable chip would have been to utilize the general purpose nature of a chip such as that as the chip executing IA-32 instructions. Furthermore, the use of a programmable core in conjunction with a fixed processing core would have allowed one to develop a system capable of performing specific functions faster (such as DSP algorithms). Solomon discloses the use of an Intel Pentium II processor as the primary fixed processor, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the processor disclosed by Intel with a secondary programmable core on the same chip.

Art Unit: 2181

31. Regarding **claim 30**, Intel discloses the limitations as stated in **independent claim 27**.

Intel does not disclose the processor being included in *a programmable chip*.

Solomon does disclose the processor being included in *a programmable chip*.

The advantage of utilizing a processor core as that disclosed by Intel in the environment of a programmable chip would have been to utilize the general purpose nature of a chip such as that as the chip executing IA-32 instructions. Furthermore, the use of a programmable core in conjunction with a fixed processing core would have allowed one to develop a system capable of performing specific functions faster (such as DSP algorithms). Solomon discloses the use of an Intel Pentium II processor as the primary fixed processor, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the processor disclosed by Intel with a secondary programmable core on the same chip.

# Response to Arguments

- 32. Applicant's arguments filed October 3, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 33. On pages 9-12, Applicant argues in essence:

The independent claims have been amended to make clear that the "common subcircuitry" is the same circuitry used for branch and non-branch instructions. Killian is different because it shows different subcircuitry used for the branch and nonbranch instructions. It cannot be said that the entire circuit of Figure 3C is being used to perform a sign extension."

Art Unit: 2181

However, all of the circuitry in Figure 3C is interpreted to be the common subcircuitry. The claims do not exclude all of Figure 3C from being the common subcircuitry. Figure 3C illustrates the address generation and translation circuitry. It is all logically connected and considered a common circuit to perform address generation and translation (see the description for the circuit starting at column 11, line 32). As in claim 1 and as pointed out by Applicant, Figure 3C shows a circuit operable to perform sign extensions of an immediate field in non-branch instructions and to perform sign extensions of said immediate field in branch instructions to calculate a target address for branch instructions.

Therefore the circuit in Figure 3C is the claimed common subcircuitry since it performs all of the required functionality of the claims. Additionally, all elements in the circuit are connected to each other, either directly or indirectly, so the different elements are regarded as common. Therefore this argument is moot.

#### Conclusion

- 34. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia LM Dollinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with first Friday's off.
- 35. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2181

36. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TLMD

/Tonia LM Dollinger/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181